	Case 2:23-cv-00725-JDP Document	47 Filed 12/10/25	Page 1 of 2
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	BRANNIGAN,	Case No. 2:23-cv-0	0725-JDP (P)
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.	ORDER	
14	RHEA,		
15	Defendant.		
16			
17	Plaintiff has filed a motion to modify the discovery and scheduling order and for the		
18	appointment of counsel. ECF No. 45. Plaintiff seeks to extend deadlines by 120 days due to his		
19	ongoing medical treatment. <i>Id.</i> Defendant filed a statement of non-opposition to plaintiff's		
20	motion. ECF No. 46. Good cause appears, plaintiff's motion to modify the scheduling order is		
21	granted.		
22	Plaintiff also requests that the court appoint counsel. District courts lack authority to		
23	require counsel to represent indigent prisoners in section 1983 cases. Mallard v. United States		
24	Dist. Court, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In exceptional circumstances, the court may request an		
25	attorney to voluntarily represent such a plaintiff. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1); Terrell v. Brewer,		
26	935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); Wood v. Housewright, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36 (9th Cir.		
27	1990). When determining whether "exceptional circumstances" exist, the court must consider		
28	plaintiff's likelihood of success on the merits as well as the ability of the plaintiff to articulate his		
		1	

1 claims pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. Palmer v. Valdez, 560 F.3d 2 965, 970 (9th Cir. 2009) (district court did not abuse discretion in declining to appoint counsel). 3 The burden of demonstrating exceptional circumstances is on the plaintiff. *Id.* Circumstances 4 common to most prisoners, such as lack of legal education and limited law library access, do not establish exceptional circumstances that warrant a request for voluntary assistance of counsel. 5 6 Having considered the factors under *Palmer*, the court finds that plaintiff has failed to 7 meet his burden of demonstrating exceptional circumstances warranting the appointment of 8 counsel at this time. 9 Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that: 10 1. Plaintiff's motion to modify the scheduling order and for the appointment of counsel, 11 ECF No. 45, is GRANTED IN PART and DENIED IN PART. 12 2. The deadline for completion of all discovery, including filing all motions to compel, is 13 April 13, 2026. 14 3. The deadline for filing dispositive motions is extended to July 6, 2026. 15 4. Plaintiff's motion for the appointment of counsel, ECF No. 45, is DENIED. 16 IT IS SO ORDERED. 17 18 Dated: December 10, 2025 19 JERÉMY D. PETERSON UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

Document 47

Filed 12/10/25

Page 2 of 2

Case 2:23-cv-00725-JDP

28